

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,533	06/29/2001	Tom Baehr-Jones	0007975-0012	8414
30076	7590 07/06/2005		EXAMINER	
BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER, LLP			STEVENS, THOMAS H	
1880 CENTURY PARK EAST 12TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELE	ES, CA 90067		2123	
		·	DATE MAILED: 07/06/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	New					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/896,533	BAEHR-JONES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTA te, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final.  ance except for formal matter					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/505	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/896,533 Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-24 were examined.

Section I: Response to Applicants' Arguments (1<sup>st</sup> Office Action)

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 4/25/05 complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609.

#### **Priority**

3. MPEP 706.02 states

If the application properly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) to a provisional application, the effective filing date is the filing date of the provisional application for any claims which are fully supported under first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 by the provisional application.

In this instance, segments of the claims are not fully supportive by the provisional application 60/215,224: claim 6, "non-embarrassingly parallel"; claim 15, "dynamic load balancer"; and claim 16, "binary inserter". Objection stands.

### 35 USC § 101

4. Applicants are thanked for addressing this issue. Examiner acknowledges applicants case law reference and other arguments; however, for future reference, examiner suggest changing the wording the independent claims. For example:

Claim 1—A method for one or more parallel processors for distributed computation comprising: defining a problem as a Cartesian grid; obtaining a

Art Unit: 2123

computation of one or more processors; mapping said Cartesian grid to said computation domain; choosing a property for load balance; splitting into a binary tree which are sub-divided by recursive process; bundle all shared memory space until each group has only one processor.

Changing of the preamble will negate the mathematical event issue while adding the last phrase (highlight emphasized). Rejection stands

#### 35 USC § 102

5. Applicants are thanked for addressing this issue. In this instance applicants have alleged the prior art does not suggest teaching by another. Although Hochberg and Jones are the true inventors, the prior art discloses Sherer, Lawton and Simulant Inc. as the key personal, thus denoting their skills and devotion (i.e., their scientific background and financial stake). Since applicants have not provided specifics or affidavits regarding inventorship, the rejection stands.

# Section II: Final Rejection (2<sup>nd</sup> Office Action) Priority

#### 6. MPEP 706.02 states

If the application properly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) to a provisional application, the effective filing date is the filing date of the provisional application for any claims which are fully supported under first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 by the provisional application.

In this instance, segments of the claims are not fully supportive by the provisional application 60/215,224 (e.g., claim 6, "non-embarrassingly parallel"; claim 15, "dynamic load balancer"; and claim 16, "binary inserter").

Application/Control Number: 09/896,533 Page 4

Art Unit: 2123

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims (e.g., claim 1) fail to recite post-solution activity with the preamble reciting a mathematical process.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 9. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hochberg et al., ("Simulant Inc" December (2000)). Hochberg et al., teaches a method and apparatus called a Feonor System, which places an algorithm that enables the Feonor System to be distributed across multiple computers (Note: Start up company disclosed invention on 12 December 2000).

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Tom Stevens whose telephone number is 571-272-3715, Monday-Friday (8:00 am- 4:30 pm) or contact Supervisor Mr. Leo Picard at (571) 272-3749. Fax number is 571-27**3**-3715.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

June 28, 2005